2	; - · e	tak k ligat	
MUV	26	1986	m

UNITED	STATES	OF AMERICA,)	JACK C. SILVER. CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
		Plaintiff,	ý	/
v.) N	Io. 86-CR-86-B
HAROLD	EUGENE	DUNHAM,)	
		Defendant)	

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on defendant Harold Eugene Dunham's motion for reduction of sentence pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. 35(b). On September 18, 1986, this Court sentenced the defendant to four years imprisonment on Count 11 of the indictment and suspended imposition of sentence on Counts 12 through 17 and placed the defendant on probation for a period of five years as to each count to run concurrent. In addition, the defendant was ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$569,384.49. The Court has reviewed the defendant's timely filed Rule 35(b) motion and the briefs and supporting documents and finds as follows.

The Court has reviewed in some detail the sentence given defendant Dunham in this case and the reasons therefor. In addition, the Court has reviewed the letter from the defendant's wife of October 27, 1986, as well as the letter regarding potential future employment and the resolution of the Adair State Bank urging reconsideration of the sentence. The Court concludes that pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. 35, the sentence of defendant,

Harold Eugene Dunham, should be reduced from forty-eight (48) months to thirty (30) months.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 26 day of November, 1986.

THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States	s of America vs.	÷		United S	states Dist	rict Cou	rt for
DEFENDANT	CHARLES E.H	ILLHOUSE a/k,	/a CHARLES	DOCKET NO.	. ∟ 86-CR-14	9-01-BT	
	JUDGMEN	T AND PR	OBATION	I/COMMIT	MENT OF	RDER AD	245 (9/82)
	In the presence of the	e attorney for the gov red in person on this	vernment date		MONTH 11-	DAY 25-	YEAR 86
COUNSEL	without co	counsel at	pointed by the court	endant of right to counse	on waived assistance o	defendant desire of counsel.	d to have
	WITH COUN	SEL, <u>Georgi</u> i	na Sherrod,	Retained Counsel)	C A		
PLEA		the court being satisfication that the court being satisfication the plea,		J NOLO CONTENDERE,	, Lugico. Sirio. Sil	Promotes of supplying the supp	•
FINOMA &		g/verdict of X x convicted as charged	GUILTY. of the offense(s) of	endant is discharged having violate three (3) of			
JUDGMENT	section 2861	(d) as charge	ed in count	curee (3) or	the Indict	incirc.	
		•					
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	was shown or annear	ted to the court, the court the cour	int adjudged the defe CARRICK STARTS ion of sent placed on	ent should not be pronound ant guilty as charged an wind seprementative for in the pronound and seprementative for in the probation for instance is suspendent for instance fo	nded and the rape of the raperiod	eed that: ************************************	fendant is
	Manton e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Three (3) ye is imposed.	ears and a	Special Asses	sment of \$6	0.00	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF				•	•	-	
PROBATION	The second of th		e de la companya de			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the spe reverse side of this ju at any time during the	dement be imposed. Th	e Court may change within a maximum p	it is hereby ordered that the the conditions of probation robation period of five year d.	n, reduce or extend t	he period of prob	ation and
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders co		ody of the Attorney	General and recommer	a certific	red that the Clerk of copy of this ju- mitment to the U her qualified office	udgment J.S. Mar-
	Frank H.	Mª Carthy	,		CERTIFIE	O AS A TRUE COP	YON
SIGNED BY	strict Judge	Carthy .S.Attorney	Bree		THIS DAT] <u>11-25-80</u> J. Clevel	ind_
U.S. Ma	THOM	AS R. BRETT	Da ⁽	·11=25=86		()CI	LERK EPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

CHARLES E. HILLHOUSE,

Defendant.

No. 86-CR-149-B

MOTION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts One, Two, and Four of the Indictment against CHARLES E. HILLHOUSE, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing motion to dismiss and the Court hereby orders dismissal of the requested Counts of the Indictment.

United States District Judge

Date:

United State	s of America vs. United State	≈ Distri	ct Cou	rt for
DEFENDANT	THOMAS JOSEPH GABRIEL DOCKET NO.	 86-CR-137	-01-BT	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITME	NT ORE	ER AO 2	(9/82)
HAR SA	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH .	DAY 25-	YEAR 86
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and a counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waive			to have
4 1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	K WITH COUNSEL Kirk Glasco. Court Appointed Counse (Name of Counsel)	L		
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that LI NOLO CONTENDERE, L there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT GUILT	Standard V 1	
	There being a finding to specific tof Sullity. Defendant is discharged Sullity.		with the second of the second	
FROME &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) ohaving violated To Section 1341 as charged in count one (1) of the Indian	itle 18 U	.s.c.,	- 'a - _e -
, to the end				
		of the second		
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Be was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convince the court of the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convince the court of the court of the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convince the court of the c	and the period of to make the U.S	that: The see	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	in the second of			
	Service Control of the Control of th		ā _i .	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	or extend the pe	riod of probat	tion, and
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, approved as to form:	It is ordered the a certified contained commitments shall or other questions.	py of this jud ent to the U.S	gment . Mar-
	Frank H. McCarthy	CERTIFIED AS A		
U.S. DH استطار U.S. Mi	eistrate Mount Kirel	вуД:С	levelan	<u>d</u> .
	THOMAS R. BRETT Date 11-25-86	l .	DEPL	JTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	NOV 25 1986
vs.	
THOMAS JOSEPH GABRIEL,)
Defendant.) No. 86-CR-137-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss, with prejudice, Counts Two through Twelve of the Indictment in this case, filed September 4, 1986, against THOMAS JOSEPH GABRIEL, defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

ackber

Good cause appearing, it is so ORDERED.

THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date:

				75 7 7 7
;	3	; ::		
	**	. 1 764	4.75	,

WORLDOWN ROOM

	1107 40 1000
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) seem o buyer of FRA
Plaintiff,	JACK C. DILYER, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
v.) NO. 86-CR-19-BT
STEPHEN JAY SONGER,)
Defendant.	,)

JUDGMENT

An order of bond forfeiture was entered September 22, 1986, for failure of the defendant Stephen Jay Songer to appear for jury trial. In addition, the defendant Stephen Jay Songer has made no appearance herein since the scheduled trial date. Pursuant to the Court's previous order, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Government on said bond in the amount of Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000.00), plus interest earned from the date of forfeiture on said sum as invested by the Clerk of the court.

As for pre-judgment interest in the sum of approximately \$3,250.00 earned on the \$200,000.00 cash bond filed with the Clerk, said sum will be disbursed to counsel for defendant Stephen Jay Songer if appropriate supported defense claims are filed with the Court within 15 days from this date (as stated by the Court during trial). In the event that the costs are not approved by the Court, any remaining sums will be paid pro rata

to the persons that advanced the cash bond on behalf of the defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 25 day of November, 1986.

THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOV 2 4 1986

	MOA 7 at 1000
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clark U. S. DISTRICT COURT
vs.)
RHONDA EVERETT,)
Defendant.)) No. 86-CR-121-E

MOTION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss with prejudice Counts Two through Five of the Indictment against RHONDA EVERETT, defendant.

LAYN R. PHILLIPS United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing motion to dismiss and the Court hereby orders dismissal of the requested Counts of the Indictment.

United/States District Judge

Date: 11/24/86

		MANITOWN BEN	FRICT OF OXLAHORA
FENDANT	PHONDY RANKELA	DOCKET NO.	86-CR-121-E
	JUDGMENT AND PROBAT	TION/COMMITM	ENT ORDER AO 245 19 82
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	See Park as the second of the	MONTH DAY YEAR
COUNSEL		vised defendant of right to counsel a he court and the defendant thereupon v	nd asked whether defendant desired to have valved assistance of counsel.
	Ly J WITH COUNSEL 1 Sucan Octo, 2	(Name of Counsel)	FILE
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	L NOLO CONTENDERE,	NOV-2 4 1986
	There being a finding/verdict of	LTY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silve C. U.S. DISTRICT CO
NDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offer Section 2024(1), as charged 1	M#4540 1944	ed Title 7, U.S.C., dickmant out over
	an a	and the second of the second o	ar y ferrante, e en el filoso. A persona de el bretide ()
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say wh	ny judgment should not be pronounced	രുട്ടു and incols C I. Because no sufficient cause to the contrar
OR	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say who was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney Ceneral or COUNT 1 - The imposition of the Attorney Ceneral or is hereby placed on TRARS from the case.	the defendant guilty as charged and o	onvious and ordered that: The desertion of the desertion
OR ROBATION	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney Ceneral or COUNT 1 - The imposition of a is hereby placed on	the defendant guilty as charged and of his authorized engreentative for impulsion to account to the contract of the contract o	onvisted and ordered that: The deserting of the deserting
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney Capital or is hereby placed on YEARS from this date. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the	the defendant guilty as charged and of his subscrized enterestation for a personal subscription of the defendant make reproduction of fice as	onvioled and ordered that: Ing designates of the defendant ried of THERE (3)
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney Capital or is hereby placed on TRARS from this date. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the in payments as determined by of community service. Defends	above, it is hereby ordered that the granting for incoming the conditions of probation of five years improbation period of five years	or o
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DOITIONAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or committed to the custody of the Attorney General or committed to the custody of the Attorney General or the hereby placed on TRARS from the custody of the Court may at any time during the probation period or within a max	above, it is hereby ordered that the schange the conditions of probation period of five years ion period.	great conditions of probation set out on the educe or extend the period of probation, ampermitted by law, may issue a warrant and the clerk deliver, a certified copy of this judgment
ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION MINITMENT ECOMMEN- DATION GNED BY	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney Gangal or COUNT 1 - The imposition of the Attorney Gangal or TEARS from all attorney placed on TEARS from all attorney of community service. Defends of community service. Defends at any time during the probation period or within a max revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation occurring during the probation. The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney Gangal or a violation occurring during the probation.	above, it is hereby ordered that the grange the conditions of probation period of five years ion period.	and the defendant ried of TRRE (3) stitution of \$750.00 d complete 100 kours deduce or extend the period of probation and permitted by law, may issue a warrant and commitment to the U.S. Marand commitment to the
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DESCRIPTIONS OF ROBATION MINITIMENT ECOMMEN DATION INED BY U.S. Diss	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney Gapral or COUNT 1 - The imposition of a transfer of the transfer of th	above, it is hereby ordered that the schange the conditions of probation period of five years ion period.	great conditions of probation set out on the educe or extend the period of probation, ampermitted by law, may issue a warrant and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer. CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

	of America vs.	United States Distr	
DEFENDANT	DENNIS S. EAMNONS	DOCKET NO	
	UDGMENT AND PROBATION	COMMITMENT OR	DER AO 245 13 82
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH	DAY YEAR
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defen	dant of right to counsel and asked whether d	efendant desired to have counsel.
2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	System Gree, 27h	(Name of Counsel)	LED-
PLEA	there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE, LINONI	
	There being a finding/verdict of		Silver, Clark TRICT CO
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of Section 2024(b), as charged in the 1	having violated little 7	. B.S.C., y S
		PV/S6.	10 2 86
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney Caparal or bis subject to the custody of the Attorney Caparal or bis subject to the imposition of sentence is subjected on probation for a period of	rended and the defendant	is hereby his date.
		Company of the Secretary of the Secretary	and American
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	amount of \$2,548.00, in payments	ferdant make restitution as determined by the pro- 8-11-86 X	in the
PROBATION	Market Sell Delic		10-3-66 × X
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	conditions of probation, reduce or extend the	e period of probation, an
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney G	a certified and comm	ed that the Clerk deliver I copy of this judgment nitment to the U.S. Mar- ner gualified officer.
			AS A TRUE COPY ON
SIGNED BY	trict Judge	THIS DATE	
U.S.Mi	Jenes O. Ellison Date	11-24-86	()CLERK ()DEPUTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

YOLANDA PIZANA,

Defendant.

Defendant.

Dock C. Silver, Clark
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss Count One of the Indictment in this case, filed June 30, 1986, against YOLANDA PIZANA, defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

Good cause appearing, it is so ORDERED.

JAMES O/ ELLISON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: November 24, 1986

FENDANT	VOLARDA PIZ	ara garcia		DOCKET NO.	L 86-	:R-96-Z	***
			ELATION		W	TOPDE	
•	JUDGMENT			I/COIVIIV		I UNU	IN A0 245 (
\$ **** · ·	In the presence of the the defendant appeare	attorney for the govern	ment	The probability of a large and tempton at the self- graphic of the self-	TABLE OF THE STATE OF		DAY
COUNSEL	- WITHOUT COL	JNSEL However the counsel appo	court advised def inted by the court a	and lands be self a cortis	counsel and aske	d whether defendation	dant desired to
ෙස්කාන අත නෙක්	WITH COUNSE	L L Russi Oth	• 	(Name of C	g sauce sing of section of the first		00
	•			<u> </u>	1.0 % 61	NOV 2 4 19	80
PLEA	GUILTY, and th there is a factua	e court being satisfied al basis for the plea,	that L	J NOLO CONTEN	→ Ja	ck C. Silver	
$\overline{}$		(OT CHRITY Dof	endant is dischar		S. DISTRICT	
	There being a finding	3	UILTY,	endant is dischar	geu		ر ا الماسين
FINDING &	Defendant has been co						
NUDGMENT	Section 1702	, as charges	in Count	2 of the	indict men	F bairsocea	,
	and the second of the second o		en in the section of the section of	and the second s	Color (colored reco	aurophinis.	
					, secisbos	or that he let	:
	*						
BENTENCE	The court asked whether was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the	to the court, the court a	idjudged the defer eneral or his author	ndant guilty as cha	rged and convict	ed and ordered t	cause to the chat: The defer
OR PROBATION	was shown or appeared	to the court, the court a	idjudged the defer	idant guilty as cha	rged and convict	ed and ordered to	fendant
OR	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the	to the court, the court a custody of the Attorney. e imposition hereby place on this date	of seate	ried sources say	pended and convict	ed and ordered to	fendant
OR PROBATION	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the COBST 2 - The 1s	to the court, the court a	of seate	ried sources say	pended and convict	ed and ordered to	fendant
OR PROBATION	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the COBST 2 - The Land Cobs	to the court, the court a custody of the Attorney. e imposition hereby place on this date	of seate	ried sources say	pended and convict	ed and ordered to	fendant
OR PROBATION DRIJER	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the COBST 2 - The 1s	to the court, the court a custody of the Attorney. e imposition hereby place on this date	of seate	ried sources say	pended and convict	ed and ordered to	fendant
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the COBST 2 - The 1s	to the court, the court a custody of the Attorney. e imposition hereby place on this date	of seate	ried sources say	pended and convict	ed and ordered to	fendant
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the committed	to the court, the court a custody of the Attorney. e imposition hereby place on this date	of seate	ried sources say	pended and convict	ed and ordered to	fendant
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL SONDETIONS OF PROBATION	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the committed	to the court, the court accepted of the Attorney. e imposition hereby place on this date 2 ORDERED EA	of seater	rolation	pended at a period	ed and ordered to	feedent (2) TEA
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the committed	to the court, the court accepted of the Attorney. Imposition hereby place this date I ORDERED LA acceptance the Conditions of probation period or with	imposed above our may change our may change	it is hereby orderecthe conditions of period of	peuded and conviction of the peuded and the general conviction, reduced the general cobation, general cobati	conditions of prosextend the pe	cobation set ouriod of probat
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the committed	to the court, the court accustory of the Attorney Court of the Att	imposed above our may change in a maximum period	it is hereby orderecthe conditions of period of d.	periodical states and convict the periodical states and convict the periodical states and the periodical states are periodical states are periodical states are periodical states and the periodical states are periodical s	conditions of prorextend the peritted by law, ma	cobation set ouriod of probativy issue a war
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OF PROBATION OMMITMENT	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the committed	to the court, the court accustory of the Attorney Court of the Att	imposed above our may change in a maximum period	it is hereby orderecthe conditions of period of d.	periodical states and convict the periodical states and convict the periodical states and the periodical states are periodical states are periodical states are periodical states and the periodical states are periodical s	conditions of propertied by law, ma	robation set ourido of probativy issue a war
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OF PROBATION	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the committed	to the court, the court accustory of the Attorney Court of the Att	imposed above our may change in a maximum period	it is hereby orderecthe conditions of period of d.	periodical states and convict the periodical states and convict the periodical states and the periodical states are periodical states are periodical states are periodical states and the periodical states are periodical s	conditions of propertied by law, ma	obation set outried of probaty issue a warrhat the Clerk in py of this judent to the U.S.
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OF PROBATION OMMITMENT RECOMMEN OATION	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the committed	to the court, the court accustory of the Attorney Court of the Att	imposed above our may change in a maximum period	it is hereby orderecthe conditions of period of d.	periodical states and convict the periodical states and convict the periodical states and the periodical states are periodical states are periodical states are periodical states and the periodical states are periodical s	conditions of property law ma	obation set outried of probaty issue a warrhat the Clerk in py of this judent to the U.S.
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OF PROBATION OMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	was shown, or appeared hereby committed to the committed	to the court, the court accustory of the Attorney Court of the Att	imposed above our may change in a maximum period	it is hereby orderecthe conditions of period of d.	periodical states and convict the periodical states and convict the periodical states and the periodical states are periodical states are periodical states are periodical states and the periodical states are periodical s	conditions of properties by law, ma	obation set outried of probaty issue a warrhat the Clerk in py of this judent to the U.S.

FILED IN OPEN COURT

UNITED STATES	S OF AMERICA,)	OI EI 4 COOKI
	Plaintiff,)	NOV 191286
vs.) } .)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SAMI TAHSIN	MARMASH,)	
	Defendant.	,}	No. 86-CR-114-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss, with prejudice, Counts One and Two, only, of the Indictment in this case, filed August 6, 1986, against SAMI TAHSIN MARMASH, defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

Good cause appearing, it is so ORDERED.

Clancel H. I th Cont.

H. DALE COOK, CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: November 19, 1986

November 19,

4

				المُعْلَقِينَ اللهِ		
	ADNAN AHMED ABDE ZAZQ, a	/k/a THE NO	R1 ZRN DI	STRICT OF	OKLA	AMOL
#ElithanT	Amor M. Abeer, a/k/a Yase Abdulaha		86-	CR-115-B1	P	
e Marce e de la companya de la comp La companya de la co	Telephon of	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	CHECK STATE COMMENTS AND	XX	# 31 //	
	in the presence of the attorney for the government	nert		MONTH	DAT	YEAR
	the defendant appeared in person on this date		L	11	19	86
COUNSEL	counsel appoin	ourt advised defendant of right t ted by the court and the defendant	thereupon waived	ed whether defe- assistance of cou	ndant desire nsel.	ed to have
_	XX WITH COUNSEL Susan Otto	, Appointed Couns	sel f Counsel)			
PLEA	XX 3 GUILTY, and the court being satisfied the there is a factual basis for the plea,	nat LINOLO CONTI	ENDERE, L	NSOF CUETY	en ser se	
)			SIEV 19		
	There being a finding/v XXX t of XX . c.	T GUILTY. Defendant is disch.	arged	TES: 150	ig pyr mewsteri g Theodore	
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the Section 1014 as charged	ne offense(s) of having in Count One of t	violated he Indict	ment and	TITTE	C.,
TKAMDOUL	42 U.S.C. Section 408(g) Indictment.	(2) as charged in	n Count Fo	ur of the	е	
	The court asked wirether defendant had combing a was shown, or amounted to the court of expert at XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	judged the defendant pulity as ci	harged and Complet	lad tind ordered	ticause to th that: XXX	xxxxxx xxxxxx
SORTHUSE OR	Counts 1 & 4 - Imposition				ndant	is
HOBER REGRE	as to each	probation for a process count to run con	ncurrent a	ınd a \$50		
	Special As	ssessment as to ea	acn count.			
2256141						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF						
POBATION		•				
South MAL	to addition to the special conditions of mobile on reverse side of this ludgment in correct districts.	emposed above, it is hereby emist-	ed its fithe ceeds cash stops ceduce	l coeditions of p or extend the pe	robation set eriod et prol	aut on the bation, and
SHOITICHS. FC MOITABORT	at any signer during the probation period or each revoke probation for a violation securing during the	n is maximum probation reclied a	of the years plan	itted by law im-	iv same a v	varrant and
MODATION	The court orders commitment to the custody		commends.	it is earliered t		
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	Approved as to form:			a certified co and commitm shallor other q	ent to the l	J.S. Mar-
	Kenneth P. Snoke, AUSA					
SIGNED BY	sistrict ludge					
	Houas M.	Dro X		1	•	
US!	THOMAS R. BRETT	Date	9-86	I		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

vs. ADNAN AHMED ABDERRAZAQ,

Defendant.

No. 86-CR-115-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss, with prejudice, Counts Two, Three, Five, Six, Seven, and Eight. only, of the Indictment in this case, filed August 6, 1986, against ADNAN AHMED ABDERRAZAQ, defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

Good cause appearing, it is so ORDERED.

THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: November 18, 1986

×,

ed States	of America vs.	United States District Court is
ENDANT >		MORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
		DOCKET NO.
J	UDGMENT AND PROBATION	N/COMMITMENT ORDER A6 245 1938
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YE. 11-19-86
OUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised det	fendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to hand the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.
ſ	X WITH COUNSEL ETHEST BESTOTS C	t. Apptd.
e A sector		(Name of Counsel)
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	□ NOLO CONTENDERE, □ 1 NOT GUILTY
	() - NOT CHIEF D	
		fendant is discharged, her bend excerated the Indictment dismissed.
	Defendant has been convicted as chargest M the offense(s)	is not guilty upon a verdiet of
NDING &	not guilty of the offenses of hav 1071, as charged in the Indictues	it.
	<u>and a supplied of the state of</u>	<u>and a land of the first of the</u>
	en de la companya de La companya de la co	្នាក់ ស្រាស់ ខេត្ត នុស្ស និង ខេត្ត វិកិ ត្ត និង
ENTENCE	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the deleterable committed to the custodworthe Arieraws, organization has use	pent should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the company of the personal policy as charged and convicted and ordered that. The defend of the personal property of the personal prop
ORDER		FILE,
	Color appear publications	0 20 MOV P 9 1986
SPECIAL INDITIONS OF		Jack C. Silve C. U.S. DISTRICT CC
ROBATION	The second of th	U.S. DISTRICT
	Selection and	to be hearly address that the consult monditions of mechanism out out
DOITIONAL INDITIONS OF ROBATION	side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change	it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrand.
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney	y General and recommends, It is ordered that the Clerk de a certified copy of this judge
MMITMENT COMMEN-		and commitment to the U.S. shall or other qualified officer.
DATION		CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY OF
NED BY		THIS DATE
U.S. Dist	rict Judge	•
U.S. Ma	distrate Parks (1)	By
U.5. Ma	James O. Ellison	11-17-80

Date

UNITED STATES	OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	MOV 10 1888 JACK C. SILVER, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
vs.)
RECHUNDA KAYE	HALL,	
	Defendant.) No. 82-CR-134-#

ORDER REVOKING PROBATION

Now, on this 5th day of November, 1986, this cause comes on for hearing before the undersigned judge of the District Court, upon the Petition for Probation Action previously filed herein on October 27, 1986. The United States is represented by Ben F. Baker, Assistant United States Attorney, and defendant is present in person and by counsel, Susan Otto.

Heretofore, on January 6, 1983, the defendant was convicted on her plea of guilty to forgery of a U.S. Treasury check, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 495. She was thereafter, on February 7, 1983, sentenced to four years probation under the terms of the Youth Corrections Act.

The U.S. Probation Office for this Court seeks revocation of this probation on grounds contained in its Petition of October 27, 1986, in which it is alleged that defendant's drug testing showed the use of two controlled drugs on October 2, 1986, and also that the defendant had failed on three occasions to report to the probation officer when so ordered.

A preliminary hearing on the Petition was conducted by the U.S. Magistrate for this district on October 29, 1986, following which defendant was ordered detained for this District Court hearing this date.

Defense counsel confesses the allegations of the Petition, and defendant, upon questioning by the Court, does likewise.

The court, after examining the pleadings on file, and having heard the report of the probation officer, and the statement of the defendant and her attorney, finds that the probationary sentence should be, and the same is hereby vacated and set aside. The Court finds that defendant should not be sentenced under the provisions of the Youth Corrections Act, but is sentence to a term of six months in the custody of the Attorney General.

The Court recommends confinement of the defendant at the Federal Correctional Institution, Fort Worth, Texas, for enrollment in the drug rehabilitation program.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this Order to the U.S. Marshal for this district to serve as the commitment of the defendant.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 5th day of November, 1986.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United State	es of America vs.	United States District Court for
DEFENDANT	CLAUDE PIERRE EMILE DENIS	THE NORLERN DISTRICT OF ONLAHOMA
	a guardo escolo a contrata del proposo contactoria realizada de la proposición de la secolo de la contrata del contrata del contrata de la contrata de la contrata de la contrata del contr	DOCKET NO.
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION	
100000	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date.	and the region of the contraction of the contractio
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL A However the court advised defe	
1072 20030 1096 1	L XX with counsel Fred Schraeder, A	(Name of Counsel) [Name of Counsel]
PLEA	there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE, LINOT MONTO 1986
	There being a finding/vencious of LXX GUILTY.	ndant is discharged Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COUNTY
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of	(1) and 843(b) as charged in Counts Lile 18, U.S.C., Sections 2, 1952
		no seque nervo trabanção
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defend hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authoric Count 1 - Three (3) years £ \$50.00 concurrent with Count 1. Count 9 - Three (3) years £ \$50.00 concurrent with Count 1.	Special Assessment to run Special Assessment to run Special Assessment to run consurrent (4) year Special Parole Termin
SPECIAL CONDITIONS	consurrent with Count 1. Count 26 - Three (3) years & \$50.00 concurrent with Count 1.	Special Assessment to run Special Assessment to run
OF PROBATION	Count 31 - Three (3) years & \$50.06	Special Assessment to run concurrent ant to make restitution in the
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the	cliant be considered for placement clorida. It is shereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and condition period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and conditions of probation and condition period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and conditions of probations.
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney G Approved as to form:	a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY XX U.S. Dis	Catherine J. Hardin, AUSA	THIS DATE 11.10-86
J.	THOMAS R. BRETT Date	11-10-86 By CLERK DEPUTY

FILED
IN OPEN COURT
NOV 1) 1986

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

vs.

CLAUDE PIERRE EMILE DENIS, a/k/a "Big Boy", a/k/a "Fat Boy",

Defendant.

No. 86-CR-48-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss, with prejudice, Counts Five and Thirty of the Indictment in this case, filed May 7, 1986, against CLAUDE PIERRE EMILE DENIS, defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

Good cause appearing, it is so ORDERED.

THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

November 10 Date: October 27, 1986

(An)a (27)	A Stateman	A T Turks	prima (2.4	

MOV -7 1886

JACK C. SILVER CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DON E. W. JENKINS,

a/k/a Don E. Jenkins,

Defendant.

No. 86-CR-134-B

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss, with prejudice, Counts One and Two of the Indictment in this case, filed September 4, 1986, against DON E. W. JENKINS, a/k/a Don E. Jenkins, defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

Good cause appearing, it is so ORDERED.

JOHN LEO WAGNER UNITED STATES DISTRICT MAGISTRATE

Date: 11-7-86

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

KENDRA RYAN CAMP,

Defendant.

No. 83-CR-113-C

ORDER REVOKING PROBATION

NOW on this 16th day of September, 1986, this cause comes on for hearing before the undersigned Chief Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon the Petition for Probation Action, previously filed herein on February 20, 1986. The United States is represented by Jack Morgan, Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendant is present in person and represented by counsel Mack Braly.

Heretofore, on January 28, 1983, the defendant entered a guilty plea to counts One and Four of the Indictment in the above-captioned case, charging conspiracy and making false representations in bank loan applications. Thereafter, on January 31, 1984, the defendant was sentenced to the custody of the Attorney General for 13 months on count One, and on count Two, imposition of sentence was suspended and defendant was placed on probation for four years.

The U.S. Probation Office for this Court seeks revocation of the probation granted in count Two, as noted above, on the grounds contained in its Amended Petition, filed August 25,

1986, in which it is alleged that the probationer moved from her address in Woodland Hills, California, without notifying her probation officer; that the probationer failed to report to the probation officer as directed for the months of October 1985 through July 1986; and that on June 27, 1986, the probation officer was advised that the probationer was in Dublin, Ireland, without the permission of the Court or the probation office and was under investigation by the Dublin drug squad.

On August 25, 1986, a preliminary hearing was held before the U.S. Magistrate for this district, following which the defendant was ordered detained for a district court hearing, held this date. Defense counsel has waived any additional preparation time on the Amended Petition for Probation Action, of August 25, 1986 or on the charges contained therein, and has admitted the allegations of the Amended Petition. Defendant, upon questioning by the Court, likewise waives any additional preparation time and confesses said allegations.

The Court, having read the petition, and having heard the admissions of the allegations by defendant and her counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the defendant's probationary sentence should be, and the same is, hereby revoked.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the order of probation of the defendant as to count Two of the above-captioned case is vacated, and set aside, and the defendant is sentenced to the custody of the Attorney General for one year.

IT IS FURTHER OFDERED, that the Clerk of this Court deliver a certified copy of this Order to the U.S. Marshal for this district to serve as the commitment of the defendant.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this # day of **TOVEMBLE*, 1986.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

UNITED	STATES	OF AMERICA,)		1	w		()	
		Plaintiff,))		J		rdk C.		
vs.)				9. 10.0	- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	
RONALD	EDWIN	CAMP,	}						
		Defendant.	ý	No. 8	3-CR-11	.3-C			

ORDER REVOKING PROBATION

NOW on this 16th day of September, 1986, this cause comes on for hearing before the undersigned Chief Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon the Petition for Probation Action, previously filed herein on February 20, 1986. The United States is represented by Jack Morgan, Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendant is present in person and represented by counsel Susan Otto, Deputy Federal Public Defender.

Heretofore, on January 28, 1983, the defendant entered a guilty plea to counts One and Four of the Indictment in the above-captioned case, charging conspiracy and making false representations in bank loan applications. Thereafter, on January 31, 1984, the defendant was sentenced to the custody of the Attorney General for 13 months on count One, and on count Two, imposition of sentence was suspended and defendant was placed on probation for four years.

The U.S. Probation Office for this Court seeks revocation of the probation granted in count Two, as noted above, on

14

the grounds contained in its Amended Petition, filed August 25, 1986, in which it is alleged that the probationer was arrested for a misdemeanor shop-lifting charge in Los Angeles, California, on May 28, 1985, and admitted committing the offense to his probation officer; that on or about October 3, 1985, the defendant moved from his address in Woodland Hills, California, without notifying his probation officer; that the probationer failed to report to the probation officer as directed for the months of October 1985 through July 1986; and that on June 27, 1986, the probation officer was advised that the probationer was in Dublin, Ireland, without the permission of the Court or the probation office and was under investigation by the Dublin drug squad.

On August 25, 1986, a preliminary hearing was held before the U.S. Magistrate for this district, following which the defendant was ordered detained for a district court hearing, held this date. Defense counsel has waived any additional preparation time on the Amended Petition for Probation Action, of August 25, 1986 or on the charges contained therein, and has admitted the allegations of the Amended Petition. Defendant, upon questioning by the Court, likewise waives any additional preparation time and confesses said allegations.

The Court, having read the petition, and having heard the admissions of the allegations by defendant and his counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the defendant's probationary sentence should be, and the same is, hereby revoked.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the order of probation of the defendant as to count Two of the above-captioned case is vacated, and set aside, and the defendant is sentenced to the custody of the Attorney General for two years.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the Clerk of this Court deliver a certified copy of this Order to the U.S. Marshal for this district to serve as the commitment of the defendant.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this _____ day of

november, 1986.

H. DALE COOK, CHIEF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

							the second secon
UNITED	STAT	ES C)F	AMERICA,)		
				Plaintiff,)		
)		
vs.)		
) .		
GEORGE	LEE	BRIG	CE,)		
) .		
				Defendant.	,	No.	86-CR-112-08-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss, with prejudice, Counts Eight and Nine of the Indictment filed August 6, 1986, against the defendant George Lee Brice, only.

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date:

**	y management of the control of the c	a design of	and the second of the second o
the second of th		THE NOR. LERN	DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
	JACK L. HAMILTON	respectives	86-CR-48-05-BT
rain.		प्रकार होते. उन्हें त्या व अन्य अध्यक्षिण के अवस्थित के अध्यक्षिण के अध्यक्षिण के अध्यक्षिण के अध्यक्षिण के अध अपने कुछ के में अध्यक्षिण के अध्य	
	in the presence of the attorney air the government. The defendant appeared in purson on this date.	æ;	11 04 86
COUNSEL	► WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advise counsel appointed by the courted by t	ourt and the defendant thereupon w. Retained Counsel	
		(Name of Counsel)	
PLEA	there is a factual basis for the plea,		NOTGUNOV - 4 1986 Jack C. Silver, Clark
	There being a finding/v概数 of { LXX; GUILTY.	. Defendant is discharged	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense	s) of having violate	ed Title 18, U.S.C.,
FINDING & 1	Sections 371 and 1952 as charged Indictment.	ged in Counts 6 an	d 25 of the
	The court asked whether defendant had augilions to live size	. Lungar should per hou exposes of	Because no sufficient cause to the contrary
•	The court asked whether determant had anything the lighter was shown, or uppeared to the court, the court of bugged the reserve committed to the custed or the Minor of Court of the	charge land pullty as abunited and to	Seafelfog ind General new True corespondences.
SERTERCE OR	Count 25 - Two (2) years and a	\$50.00 Special As	sessment.
POBATION SECTION	Count 6 - Imposition of sentent placed on probation commence upon completand a \$50.00 Special	for a period of Th tion of sentence i	ree (3) years to
OPECIAL COMEITIONS OF	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amount of \$6,224.60 as directed		
PROBATION	Execution of sentence is deferming 1986, at which time the Defendence designated institution. U.S.	ant is to present	
-DOLTIONAL JOHDITIONS JE JACBATION	In addition to the original enterthins of policies in imprecial of every side of this adiption to account The Court enterthic and any time during the probation period account in a misconveyoke probation for a violation confirm during the probation	rage the conditions of standion is an probation period of this years	istice or extend the period of orobation, and
COMMITMENT	The court orders commitment to the case silvest the first	rnoy General and recommends	in the diesel that the Clock deliver a certified copy of this judement and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
RECOMMEN- DATION	Approved as to form: (atture) and Catherine Hardin, AUSA		shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
US M	> Illeunder IN N	Greek	· · ·
	THOMAS R. BRETT	Date <u>11-4-86</u>	

	the state of the s	THE NORTE RN DI	· · · · · · · · · ·) Dm	IIOFIA
	JAMES DARRIEL ORR	. Part of the same and the same	5-CR-38-0)3-BT	A STANBOOK PRODUCTION AND
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(X) My many property of the second	A Property of the Control of the Con	MA TON	
	In the presence of the attomer for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date.		ONTO	0 4	YEAR 86
COUNSEL	counsel appointed by the cou	lefendant of right to counsel and a rt and the defendant thereupon waiv	isked whether d ed assistance of	arondar des Insel	L E
	XX WITH COUNSEL Paul D. Brunton,	(Name of Counsel)		NOV	- 4 198 6
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	I NOLO CONTENDERE, X	X_1 NOT GUII	Jack C.	Silver Cla TRICT COL
FINDING & JUDGMENT	There being a fix xxx./verdict of {	finding of not gui ts 1 thru 57 & 65 nts 59 thru 63 of	d Title ent. lty by t of the I the Indi	18, U.S he Cour ndictme ctment.	ct ent.
SENTENCE 9R PROBATION CROER	The Chart when whether delended he long her was an always was about, it approved to the rount, the count which he had been noted to the Luxiods of the A time. If he are it is a Count 58 - Three (3) years and a IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the D of \$206.30.	\$50.00 Special As	sessment	a d .•	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION					
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	S cover a sold on this pudgment is manused. There is not my shall be any since among the probation core is no artists to a small	n probation points of live mass p	ne conditions acres on end to pain text by Yes	or mateurs required of may ever	or ent on the arbotion, and a worrant and
COMMITMEN RECOMMEN DATION	Approved as to form: Kemath & Suebl	ney General and recommenda	a certifie and comi	ed that the dicopy of th nutment to th ner qualified o	is judgment ie U.S. Mar-
	Magistrate THOMAS R. BRETT	Drew		,	

ins.	(Sanding)			
5	- é	Car w	-200	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,) NOV -4 1986) JACK C. SILVER. CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
vs.	į
CYNTHIA R. McGEE,)
Defendant.)) No. 86-CR-162-BT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby moves to dismiss, without prejudice, the Indictment in this case, filed October 8, 1986, against Cynthia R. McGee, defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

Good cause appearing, it is so ORDERED.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

THOMAS R BRETT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: November 4, 1986

ted States	of America vs.			tes District Court for DISTRICT OF OSLAHOMA
ENDANT	JERRAY ARTRUE S		.1 DOCKET NO	86-CR-156-BT
	UDGMENT AN	D PROBATIO	N/COMMITTA	ENT ORDER A0 245 187
7		Committee and the second of the committee of the committe	Sometimes we want a service	
	the defendant appeared in pers	on on this date		7 11 63 66
OUNSEL	LETENTHOUT COUNSEL	However the court advised counsel appointed by the co	75 Jesandoc of right to counsel an	d asked whether defendant desired to have aived assistance of counsel.
	LXX PWITH COUNSIL	Garvin Issacs,	Retained Counsel	TIED
			INOLO CONTENDERE	L NOT GUILTY
PLEA	LXX GUILTY, and the court be there is a factual basis for		The second second	NOV - 3 1986;
		NOT GUILTY.	Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Člerk
	There being a finding/verdicate	of { _XX GUILTY.	State of the state	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MDNG &	Defendant has been convicted	as charged of the offense(s	of having violations	ed Title 18 USC,
JDGMENT				
		Line and the second		
				Because no sufficient cause to the contrary The contrary convicted and ordered that:
ENTENCE OR ROBATION	hardware attached the control of	i din diserce Sent des Tens		convicted and ordered that: The continue of th
ORDER	The second second second		and the second s	
		en la farancia de la midificació	emilija ja j	
SPECIAL DND(TIONS				
OF ROBATION				
	to the special condition	ons of probation imposed abo	ve, it is hereby ordered that the	general conditions of probation set out on the
DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF PROBATION		imposed. The Court may char n period or within a maximu	nge the conditions of probation, more probation, period of five year	reduce or extend the period of probation, and spermitted by law, may issue a warrant an
	The court orders commitment		mey General and recommend	A THE STREET CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
MMITMENT ECOMMEN- DATION	Approved as to	EOFM:		and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shall by other all style kofficer
	Laya Phillips,	U.S. Attorney		GERENTIED AS A TRUE GOPY ON
GNED BY				AUSDATE //
			KJ4078	
U.S.M	agistrate THOMAS	R. BRETT	Date 11-3-86	- Necounty